Direct to Consumer (DTC) Telemedicine

An Introduction and Business Model Survey to Assist in Solution Selection, Adoption, and Deployment

Commissioned by: National Telehealth Technology Assessment Center (TTAC)

Compiled and Produced by: Mark Vanderwerf, FATA, Telehealth Consultant, May 2020

Table of Contents:

- Introduction
- An alternative to Traditional Care Institutions?
- Who is the Consumer?
- Critical Issues
 - Portability
 - Who is your target?
 - Consumer engagement
 - Provider Engagement
 - Ease of use
 - Pricing
- Why haven't a larger percentage of consumers embraced DTC Telemedicine?
- Accelerating growth
- Service Models
 - Direct to provider
 - Chat bot
 - Hybrid
- Financial Models
- List of Companies invited to participate
- Comparison Table of Direct to Consumer Telehealth Providers Products and Services
- TTAC Video Performance Comparison
- Bibliography and Useful Links

Introduction

Disclaimer: This White paper is intended as a summary of the current issues that should be considered when selecting and implementing a Direct to Consumer solution. It is based on personal experience of the writers and current published literature. For a more in-depth understanding, we suggest the reader see the Helpful Links included in the Bibliography and conduct a search of current literature

The purpose of this study is to inform potential Direct to Consumer Telemedicine (DTC) buyers. The objective is to provide a useful background on DTC, the solution options, and a sample listing of current Direct to Consumer Telemedicine companies, including the options available, approaches, and business plans/profiles. We have included

critical issues and considerations. In addition, TTAC has completed a video performance comparison that simulates actual performance typical to challenging rural environments. We hope this provides a solid platform for assessment and decision making.

Direct to Consumer (DTC) Telemedicine is the provision of healthcare consultations directly to a consumer. The consultation can take place over video, voice or text-based communications. The consultation is generally initiated by the consumer but can be initiated by a provider. The consultation can be scheduled or unscheduled (on-demand). DTC falls into two general categories: #1. A direct video, audio or text conversion with a provider and #2. A consultation executed and/or assisted by a ChatBot.

DTC offers convenience and a fixed price. Any consumer can ask for healthcare consultation and advice anytime from anywhere without having to travel to a care provider, wait in line and expose themselves to infections. Also, because the price of a DTC consult is known up front, the consumer knows the total cost in advance.

DTC telemedicine consults are generally used as an alternative option for Primary Care, Urgent Care, and low acuity Emergency Room visits.

Examples:

DTC service promises online "on-demand" access to a provider. Typical examples might include an employee with a cough, a parent with a feverish child, or a college student or traveler away from home. The consumer is offered the convenience of immediate service. The consumer can stay at home and workers could stay at work. When a person wants a provider consultant, they simply access a web site or an APP on a smart device, log in, create an account with their basic complaint and history and see a provider.

Panels of providers are available to take a call. Every provider is licensed in the state where the patient is. Assignment of a provider is similar to the UBER or Lyft model. A provider posts his/her availability, specialty and other demographics. The consumer can select one of the providers that are available or be assigned a provider based on need. Patients are held in a short cue until the provider gets online. The provider conducts a structured diagnostic interview with the patient face to face. The provider either makes a diagnosis and recommends treatment. If a prescription is needed, the provider writes and transmits a prescription to a pharmacy local to the consumer/patient. If the case is too complicated for the online visit, the provider gives instructions/referral for a higher

level of care. The provider documents the encounter in an EMR Electronic Medical Record (EMR).

In a number of DTC services, ChatBots automate the structured interview and usually use AI to provide a differential diagnosis. The differential diagnosis is then given to a provider. The provider is connected to the consumer over video and the provider completes the interview, chooses the diagnosis and recommends treatment. They can write a prescription if needed. The ChatBot encounter and results are documented in the EMR. A few AI ChatBots are designed to give the differential diagnosis with treatment instructions directly to the patient.

DTC calls can also be used for follow-up appointments for previous face to face consults or video consults. These are scheduled and can be made with the same provider as the initial visit or another informed provider. Applications typically include follow-up for chronic disease, chronic pain or to check status of the patient on a care plan.

Author disclaimer: The lead author has no financial or management interest in any of the companies listed.

Who is the Consumer?

Healthcare is a market where consumers have options. A consumer is any buyer of healthcare whether for themselves or their family or others they care for. Healthcare providers realize that they are often competing for patients and therefore must reach out to the consumers of healthcare in their catchment area and provide services in the form these consumers are interested in. Providers also realize that the expectations of healthcare consumers are changing and may depend on demographics, role or other factors.

Critical Issues

 Who is your target consumer? As any good marketing advisor will tell you. Target marketing is essential. Consumers in healthcare are not a homogeneous group. Each generation looks at healthcare, and how they want to access healthcare, differently. You should target service definition, promotion, incentives, etc. based on your target populations.

Consumer behavior patterns are changing. A consumer's healthcare used to be centered around a long-term relationship with a primary care provider. Today

consumers are researching on-line, selecting providers and buying healthcare services like they buy almost everything else. For example: Age groups 18-29 frequently do not have designated primary care providers and buy healthcare services as needed, based on their research and convenience. The loyalty of consumers to a given provider in ages 30-64 is falling. Price and quality considerations are rising as a method of selecting a provider. (7)

	ealth Care Preferences Vary by Age			We surveyed thousands of consumers across the United States to better understand their care expectations and found that some of the most significant variations fell across age groups . Here, we've segmented each of our five consumer choice surveys by age to help you tailor your messages and target your investments to different generations across the care continuum.		
	ON-DEMAND PRIMARY CARE	PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN (PCP) LOYALTY	SPECIALTY CARE	SURGICAL CARE	VIRTUAL VISITS	THE BOTTOM LINE
18-29	Cost-Conscious A free visit ranked #1 out of 56 clinic attributes. Access Preference Secsor Staffs hours access	Option Seekers Use to over a standard by the form that Year Society over at a standard by to Determined View) $f_{1,2} = f_{2,2} = f_{2,2} = f_{2,2} = f_{2,2}$. This group is the least log in they mere the most likely to sanking of QPs and they more completion's offering.	Referral Rebels + Online Shoppers Likelhood to Break a Referral 33.9% Not Likey Not Likey of call references in this groups and at they for deal references in this groups and their choosing references to the most likely to find a provider online.	Nost Likely to Travel for Quality Is 5% of decision determined by travel the Decision of the set concentration This age groups is the lest concentration age on cally hospital afficiation, and a referral determine more of their surged realizes than other groups.	Ready for Virtual 67% would consider a writial visit if provide consider a writial visit if less expensions than in person care 20% would consider a writial visit if it was less expensions than in person care Ranking of Vohat Channels 2 1 2 2 3	This digitally fluent group is the most socially connected generation—theyre the most likely to read reviews and compare prices to find providen offenng maximum convenience at the lowest cost. They're also the most likely to shop for providers and write reviews online.
Ģ	Cost-Conscious A free visit topped this group's list of priorities.	Price Beats Quality	Willing to Break a Referral Likelihood to Break a Referral 17.9% Xor Likey Very Likey	Local Over Top Quality 17.3% of decision determined by rave time for the time important Not Important	Prime Virtual Visit Targets 73% would consider a virtual voit if imperson care is unavailable that day 47% would consider a virtual voit if it was tespensic than imperson care	These are the health care decision influencers —they off direct not only their own care, but also that of their partners.
30-49	Access Preference 51% prefer after-hours access over weekend access	This group was more likely to leave their PCP because of a \$250/year care cost increase than experiencing a medical error.	17.9% of self-referrers in this age group received a specialist referral but shopped for care instead of following it.	Travel is not make-or-break for this group—it factored less in their decisions than costs, but was still nearly 3x more important than perceived surgeon quality.	Ranking of Virtual Channels	children, and aging parents. The want care to fit into their busy lives—during nontraditional hou and through virtual options.
5 0-64	Access Seekers This group might pay more for access and convenience: time to first available appointment and anciliaries on site outrafield free visit. Access Professore 57 % Fert weekend access tert after board access	Quality Over Price	Unlikely Referral Breakers Lakitode Break a Referral 7,5% Ard Law Break Ard Law Break 2% of salf-referrers in this age group did not follow their doctor's referral for a specialist but inside shopped for a specialist of their choice.	Cost Sensitive 1.6.9% of decision determined by tweat their Cost of the second sense The group is disinterested in traveling for care and was the most cost-sensitive of the age cohorts when a comes to surgical care.	Receptive to Virtual Visits 62% media consider a vehal word if weak consider a vehal word if thus less expenses than overson care Rowing of Virtual Channel 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Increasingly delaying retirement this group is staying active ane engaged in personal wellness longer than before. Often managing multiple chronic are confidents, these consumers expect timely acces to in-person care.
	Physician-Centric Provider continuity and credentials were more important than a free visit.	Most Loyal Lettende to Sellen PCP-In Rest Yar Scale: O (real at allaler) to 10 (restremely: Nexy) U 1 1 1 2 0 378 The 65 + cohort is the most loyal—they were the leases likely to leave the PCPs	Affiliation Influences Choice Likelihood to Break a Referral 7,0% Not Likely Only 7% of these self-referrers reported breaking a referral to see a provider	Travel Avoiders 20.3 M decision determined by twell time propriat Nat Important This age group desn't want to travel for care-travel time was more than twice	Most Resistant to Virtual 58% weld consider a virtual was if merenon care is usualable that day 20% weld consider a virtual wolf if wass less expensive than in-person care Raiving of Virtual Channels	These are health care's traditionalists : they are the mo loyal age group, least likely to break a referral, and disinterest in traveling for care. However, as the Baby Bocimers age into Medicare, they will age into Medicare, they will
65+ Adviso Board	61% prefit weekend access over after hours access ry Market Innovation Center	and also the most likely to recommend their providers.	of their choosing, Hospital attillation was most important in this cohort's specialist selection.	as important as following a referral or hospital affiliation to this group.	Explore n	after the profile of the 65+ consumer group.

Deloitte (5), Beckers(6), and the Advisory Board(7) have all published informative studies on Health Consumer profiles to aid in targeting. Links to these articles are included in the **Bibliography** below.

Note: Do not underestimate the capability of elders to use technology solutions. Many consumers age 70 and under have computer skills and have expectations about service technologies. Keep in mind that many of these people have experienced computers and remote services in some form for the past 40-50 years. (2)

- ATMs and video games became popular in the 1970s

- By the early 1980s, office jobs were beginning to center around computers and computer terminals.
- By the end of the 1980s, Microsoft applications were in everyday use in business.
- In the 1990s laptops were in regular use in business and were moving into the home. Amazon arrived in 1994,
- The iPhone arrived in 2007 followed by a deluge of Smart phones. The iPad arrived in 2010 and the over 55% of the early buyers were 55 or older.
- **Consumer engagement** Don't overestimate initial consumer acceptance. Common first year consumer engagement (prior to Covid 19) is less than 5%
 - A major challenge and cost of implementing DTC is engaging consumers and getting consumers to use the services for the first time. As every DTC program has experienced, it's not enough to tell them the service is available. You have to educate and promote its advantages to the consumer and address their potential resistance.
 - The cost of promotion, consumer education, and incentives must be calculated into your budget.
 - Change Don't underestimate the challenge of change. Although Consumers of DTC have reported very high satisfaction levels, this is a new service for them. Acceptance takes time.

- Provider Engagement:

 As DTC Providers - These are the clinicians providing the consultation over DTC. If you are using a provider panel from the DTC service provider, the need to engage your own providers is minimised but, to control cost and to improve provider utilization, it is essential to get your providers engaged and willing to provide consults through the DTC platform. This has been a challenge to date. However, the advent of Covid 19 is helping to change this in many cases.

You don't need all your providers to participate. You only need a sufficient number to staff your anticipated demand (and you can use your service provider's panel to fill in any gaps). You also want to make sure the providers you choose are comfortable, skilled and present well in a video encounter. This will require careful selection, onboarding, training and observation. Look for clinical environments in your system where providers are underutilized (urgent care centers are often a good place to look if you have them)

- As DTC Promoters Providers are the best promoters. Ask your providers to tell patients about the service and encourage them to use it.
- Change don't underestimate the challenge of change

- Ease of use

- Provider It is critical that the DTC fits within an effective and efficient workflow for the provider. Posting availability, scheduling, initiating the call and taking notes into the EMR are all critical and should be easy to use for the provider. The more you can imbed this process into your current EMR (initiate the call and document the encounter inside the EMR) the better. Make the place to take the call as convenient as possible. Their own office is usually the best (take time to make sure the location looks professional on video)
- Consumer It is critical that the consumer user experience be easy and satisfying. For the young user, downloading an APP or accessing the service over the Web is generally common and easy. This becomes more complicated with age and demographic. Call connection reliability is also critical. Consumers will quickly become frustrated if the calls do not connect or are disconnected during the call. Test in your environment.
- Infrastructure Connectivity and Devices Make sure the solution you choose works within the connectivity limitations of your target consumer (bandwidth, Internet connectivity, cellular connectivity, etc.). It is preferred that no special device be required. The application should operate effectively with the devices commonly available and in-use in your target market.
- Integration with the consumer's medical record/information access The consumer should be allowed access to current status, results, notes, etc. either directly through a patient portal or through some of the mobile health platforms.

- Pricing The common price to the consumer for a DTC primary care consultation ranges for about \$49-\$59 for a primary care/urgent care consult. Prices for behavioral health and other specialty consults appear to range from \$59-\$99 (at the time of this study)
- **Portability** Consumers want healthcare where and when they need it. They are more mobile than before both physically and in the decisions to buy services. But they also want their medical information to go with them and be shared between providers. Markets like electronic banking, Amazon and most consumer buying sites have conditioned consumers to these expectations. (Consumers are able to make transactions from wherever they are, and their transactions, updated data and even preferences follow them wherever they go.)

Why haven't a larger percentage of consumers embraced DTC? Note: This is a rapidly changing environment as these services become more common from health plans and health providers and with the response to and demands of COVID 19.

As of the middle of 2019, nationwide consumer adoption of telehealth services has been stubbornly low, with less than 8% of healthcare consumers having used such services.(3). Although the potential is significant and growth is beginning to accelerate, there are a number of reasons that should be considered and understood:

- Resistance to change is a well-established tradition / mode of care For well over 75 years, the standard method of accessing primary care is that you went to see a doctor. We are creatures of habit and for most consumers this has been a successful and comforting process. Consumer habits change slowly.
- Relationship with their physician Many consumers have an established and trusted relationship with their physician. All of our examinations and discussions have been face-to-face and personal. A major political mantra in health insurance policy has been the ability for patients to keep the existing physician relationship. With the advent of EMRs and physicians in primary care, consumers are finding this is less and less true, but still effective. People and consumer habits change slowly.
- Perceived limitations in the capabilities of a DTC consult To consumers, this
 may be perceived as "less medicine". A common assumption can be that the
 provider capabilities must be less over a video call than in person. In reality most
 primary care conditions do not require an in-person visit. DTC has proven to be
 very effective in identifying issues that require an in-person assessment and

advise the consumer/patient accordingly. J. D. Powers found that 84% of cases get resolved entirely on-line. Other reports (including DTC providers) raise this success rate to 95%. The rest of the encounters are referred appropriately.

- Concerned that they might have to pay twice The concern that the DTC consult will direct them to an ER or other provider anyway resulting in additional changes to the consumer (pay twice). In some cases, this remains true, especially if the DTC providers do not have an existing relationship with the consumer's network or alternative providers. This is being addressed in a variety of ways. Many DTC providers waive the charge if a satisfactory diagnosis cannot be reached and the patient must be referred to an in-person provider. Health Networks and employer plans have integrated their in-person and DTC services so that the DTC charge is applied to the in-person visit if needed (just as an Urgent Care Center charge is usually either waived or credited if a consumer is referred to an ED).
- Price/Value One big mistake DTC programs have made is to price the DTC at the same or more than a standard primary care co-pay. Since the consumer perception is for a lower cost and slightly riskier spend, the price should be the same or less which is justified because the cost of a DTC consult is less and may be more valuable to the provider

Accelerating Growth - DTC has shown rapid growth over the past ten years. Much of this growth can be attributed to:

- DTC services are being more widely offered. Most health plans, employer health plans, health systems and payers offer these services to their employees/customers.
- Gradual consumer acceptance High satisfaction rate. "... among those early adopters who are using telehealth, customer satisfaction with the experience ranks among the highest of any consumer category studied by J.D. Power."(3)
- Impact of the COVID 19 The infection risk of COVID 19 and resulting stay at home orders have discouraged consumers from going to in-person care environments to avoid being infected or infecting others. In addition, providers have implemented limitations to in-person visits to reduce risk of infecting themselves or their patients. This has not stopped the consumer's need for care or the need for providers to provide follow-up visits and alternatives to office visits for their patients in order to sustain their practices. The CMS and HIPAA waiver of certain restrictions have encouraged providers to provide visits and follow-up

with their patients. Healthcare plans have also implemented video health assessments. This has rapidly exposed both more consumers and more providers with the experience of a video and phone consultation. In December 2019, less that 8% of patients had ever been exposed to telemedicine. By the end of May 2020, that had grown rapidly to approximately 30% and continues to grow rapidly as the pandemic continues. This may have a profound impact on the acceptance of and use of DTC telemedicine services.

Service Models - Responses to our survey (see Table below) appear to give a good sample of the variety of service models available. Choose the one that is right for you and your targeted consumers.

- Video Call This is where the entire encounter between the consumer and the provider is conducted over video. Can be on demand or scheduled.
- ChatBot This is where the encounter is at least partially conducted through a text based ChatBot application/structured interview. The ChatBot leads the consumer through a structured question set based on the consumer's complaint and self-reported symptoms. Most ChatBots use AI to generate a differential diagnosis.
- Hybrid This is where the encounter is at least partially conducted through a text based ChatBot application/structured interview. The ChatBot then communicates the results of the structured interview as well as a differential diagnosis to a provider. The provider then enters the encounter with the consumer (over audio and/or video), makes the final diagnosis and communicates the diagnosis and care plan to the consumer.
- Scheduled vs. On-Demand Any of the above can be conducted "on demand" or can be scheduled between the consumer and provider if the application you choose enables it.
- Initial appointment vs. follow-up DTC encounters can be either an initial encounter (frequently "on demand") and/or a follow-up encounter (usually scheduled)

Financial Models - As you look at the "Table of Direct to Consumer Telehealth Providers who participated in this study" (below), please note that pricing and billing models vary by company (service provider). Ask for a detailed description of charges. Here are a few examples for comparison purposes. You will note that many of the study responses do not give a set price. This is a very fast changing and competitive market, so few vendors have a published standard price list. They will custom price based on the opportunity. Don't be afraid to negotiate.

- Licensing This might include an up-front license to use the technology or application as well as annual license renewals. Some licenses are limited to a number of consumers, number of providers or the number of clinical specialties supported.
- Cost per minute (API services) A few emerging players may charge a cost per minute
- Cost per call This is a cost per call using the platform. It does not include the cost of the provider. At least one company charges on a simple cost per call basis.
- Cost per provider consult Some companies have a simple cost per consult. This usually includes the "Cost per Call" use of the platform. Cost per consult can vary from about \$40-\$90 depending on base price and specialty.
- Services Most companies offer a variety
 - Set-up Most companies charge an up-from set-up charge. This is sometimes included with the up-front license fee.
 - On-Boarding Onboarding of providers and staff is essential and most vendors offer these services directly or in a train-the-trainer approach.
 - Training Additional training is usually available but at an extra fee
 - Marketing Marketing is essential and the larger vendors offer extensive marketing services and will even take on complete marketing responsibilities. This can result in substantial costs, You should know what you're getting and set written goals/measurements of success for the vendor.

List of Companies invited to Participate in this study - *Author disclaimer: The author has no financial or management interest in any of the companies listed.*

- 98point6
- AMD Global Telemedicine
- AmWell
- Avizia (acquired by AmWell)
- Babylon
- Bright MD
- Buoy Health
- CareClix
- Curai Health
- DialCare

- Doctor on Demand
- Electronic Caregiver
- First Stop Health
- Great Call
- Heal
- HealthTap
- InTouch Health
- K Health
- Maven Clinic
- MD Live
- MeMed
- Mend
- One Touch Telehealth
- Ro.co
- Teladoc
- US Telemedicine
- Zipnosis

Table of Direct to Consumer Telehealth Providers Products and Services

Disclaimer: The list of companies invited to participate is not intended to be an exhaustive list. It combines a list constructed by ANTHC; companies with which the authors have professional experience; the finalists of the 2019 JD Powers study plus the national providers in a list provided by the American Telemedicine Association (ATA) and the Center for Telemedicine Law (CTEL). The companies invited include only those offering national service offerings as of March 2020. Of the 28 Companies invited to participate 15 responded. If a company wants to be added to the Table of respondents (below), the survey tool will remain open until December 31 2020. Click Here https://fs26.formsite.com/Ktllgk/93uutel1bp/index.html to complete the survey.

This Table contains information provided by the Companies who completed the Direct to Consumer Telemedicine survey. For the most part, the information is exactly as provided (minor modification was made to a few items to make the response fit the final form). The companies listed are not intended to be an exhaustive list. Rather, this is an attempt to provide a representative list to educate and aid the user in decision making, planning and RFP creation. It includes all companies that completed the Direct to Consumer Telemedicine survey. We attempted to direct the Invitation to Participate to at least 2 contacts within each company. All were provided with a link to an on-line survey. All were provided with the same deadline. Any requested extension of the deadline was granted. If a response arrived within one week after the deadline, it was accepted. Of the 28 companies invited. 15 responded to date (54% response). If a

company wants to be added to the table, the survey tool will remain open until December 31, 2020). Click Here <u>https://fs26.formsite.com/Ktllgk/93uutel1bp/index.html</u> to complete the survey.

(Insert link to table here)

TTAC Video Performance Comparison - A key challenge for telemedicine technology, especially in rural and underserved communities, is available bandwidth. Video quality to provide an effective encounter and to support consumer confidence is critical. TTAC conducted a stress tested 8 leading providers at a relatively low bandwidth 512 kilobits per second. To see the video comparison, use the link below.

(Insert link to video comparison here)

Bibliography and Useful Links:

1. The history of smartphones: timeline, The guardian 2012, https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2012/jan/24/smartphones-timeline

2. Computer History Timeline, Soft Schools 2020 https://www.softschools.com/timelines/computer_history_timeline/20/

3. JD Powers - Telehealth: Best Consumer Healthcare Experience You've Never Tried, Says J.D. Power Study 2019, <u>https://www.jdpower.com/business/press-releases/2019-us-telehealth-satisfaction-study</u>

4. JD Powers - U.S. Telehealth Satisfaction Study 2019, https://www.jdpower.com/business/healthcare/us-telehealth-satisfaction-study

5. Deloitte - How do consumers navigate the health care frontier 2018, <u>https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/health-care/healthcare-consumer-patient-segmentation.html</u>

6. Beckers - Market your telehealth program with precision using these 5 consumer profiles 2019, <u>https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/hospital-management-administration/market-your-telehealth-program-with-precision-using-these-5-consumer-profiles.html</u>

7. Advisory Board - How Consumers' Health Care Preferences Vary by Age -Infographic 2017, <u>https://www.advisory.com/research/market-innovation-</u> center/resources/posters/how-consumers-health-care-preferences-vary-by-age 8. American Medical Association, AMA quick guide to telemedicine in practice -May 2020

https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital/ama-quick-guidetelemedicine-

practice?gclid=Cj0KCQjwwr32BRD4ARIsAAJNf_2SZeIVNLmuvNXbHJsDFw70lahV Y_7QonTuaE1VzkNRNzxun10TCngaAq2QEALw_wcB